

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Yu Bo Song, Individually and on behalf of All Other
Employees Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

Nails by Vivian, LLC, d/b/a Nails by Vivian, Thuc Vy
Pham, Vivian Pham, and Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name
unknown)

Defendants.

Case No.

COLLECTIVE & CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Yu Bo Song (“Song”), on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Defendants Nails by Vivian, LLC, d/b/a Nails by Vivian, Thuc Vy Pham, Vivian Pham, and Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name unknown) (collectively “Defendants”), alleges and shows the Court the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, including drivers, loaders, assistants, and all other non-exempt employees of the Defendants, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. (“FLSA”), the New York Labor Law (“NYLL”) and New York common law, arising from Defendants’ various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of

failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime wages, (2) liquidated damages, (3) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.

4. Plaintiffs further allege pursuant to New York Labor Law ("NYLL") § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") that they are entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime compensation, (2) damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide wage payment statement, (3) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid overtime, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (5) attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

PLAINTIFF

7. Plaintiff Yu Bo Song ("Song") is a resident of New York State and was employed by the Defendants' adult day care business located at 535 Pike Street, Mattituck, NY 11952 from October 15, 2016 to April 8, 2017.

DEFENDANTS

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Nails by Vivian, LLC (Nails by Vivian) had gross sales in excess of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) per year. Upon information and belief, Nails by Vivian purchased and handled goods moved in interstate commerce.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Thuc Vy Pham is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Nails by Vivian at 535 Pike Street, Mattituck, NY 11952 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Nails by Vivian and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Nails by Vivian.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Thuc Vy Pham owns the stock of Nails by Vivian and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Vivian Pham is the Administrative Director and/or managing agent of Nails by Vivian at 535 Pike Street, Mattituck, NY 11952 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Nails by Vivian and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Nails by Vivian.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Vivian Pham manages and makes business decisions on behalf of Nails by Vivian including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name unknown) is the Administrative Director and/or managing agent of Nails by Vivian at 535 Pike Street, Mattituck, NY 11952 and participated in the day-to-day operations of Nails by Vivian and acted intentionally and maliciously and is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Nails by Vivian.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name unknown) manages and makes business decisions on behalf of Nails by Vivian including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work.

15. At all times relevant herein, Defendant Nails by Vivian was, and continues to be, an “enterprise engaged in commerce” within the meaning of FLSA.

16. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Nails by Vivian LLC, Thuc Vy Pham Vivian Pham, Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name unknown).

17. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated Class and Collective Action Members their lawfully earned overtime compensation, and failed to provide them a wage notice at the time of hiring and wage statement in violation of the NYLL.

18. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

19. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

20. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime pay and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring and wage statement would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.

21. From October 15, 2016 to April 8, 2017, Plaintiff Song was hired by Defendants to work as a nail technician and beautician as well as a driver for Defendants' nails business located at 535 Pike Street, Mattituck, New York 11952.

22. Defendants did not compensate the Plaintiff for overtime wages according to state and federal laws.

23. Throughout his employment with the Defendants, Plaintiff worked five (5) days per week and took Monday and Tuesday off. Plaintiff took turns to drive other employees to and back from work every other week. During the weeks when Plaintiff had to drive, on Wednesday and Thursday, he picked up the first employee at 8:20 a.m. and started work at 10:00 a.m.; he left work at 7:00 p.m. and dropped off the last employee at 8:30 p.m. On Friday and Saturday, Plaintiff picked up the first employee at 8:10 a.m. and started work at 10:00 a.m.; he left work at 7:20 p.m. and dropped off the last employee at 8:50 p.m. On Sunday, Plaintiff picked up the first employee at 8:10 a.m. and started work at 10:00 a.m.; he left work at 5:00 p.m. and dropped off the last employee at 6:40 p.m. Plaintiff had no uninterrupted break. Therefore, Plaintiff averagely worked around sixty (60) hours during the weeks when he had to drive employees.

24. During the weeks when he did not drive employees, Plaintiff worked from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday and Thursday. He worked from 10:00 a.m. to 7:20 p.m. on Friday

and Saturday. He worked from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Sunday. Plaintiff had no uninterrupted break. He therefore worked averagely forty-three (43) hours during the weeks he did not drive.

25. Plaintiff was paid a daily rate of \$155.00 (\$140.00 as the base payment, and \$15.00 as the commission) during the weeks when he had to drive employees he was paid a daily rate of \$95.00 (\$80.00 as the base payment, and \$15.00 as the commission) when he did not drive.

26. Plaintiff received tips at an average amount of \$55 per day.

27. Throughout Plaintiff employment with Defendants, they did not maintain any time records of the Plaintiff's hours worked.

28. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff for overtime compensation according to state and federal laws, or according to the rate of compensation that the Defendants promised to Plaintiff pursuant to state common law theories of breach of contract, quantum meruit, unjust enrichment, and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

29. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with any wage notices at the time of his hiring.

30. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.

31. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.

32. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff or other Class members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff's and other Class members' pay increase(s).

33. Defendants committed the foregoing acts against the Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

34. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

35. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the “Collective Action Period”) and who failed to receive overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the “Collective Action Members”), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.

36. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than thirty (30) Collective Action members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

37. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.

38. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this class that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

39. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.

40. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;

- b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
- c. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; and,
- d. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.

41. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

42. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

43. Plaintiff brings his NYLL claims pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("F. R. C. P.") Rule 23, on behalf of all non-exempt persons employed by Defendants at Greater New York Social and Health Adult Day Care Center, LLC on or after the date that is six years before the filing of the Complaint in this case as defined herein (the "Class Period").

44. All said persons, including the Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the "Class." The Class members are readily ascertainable. The number and identity of the Class members are determinable from the records of Defendants. The hours assigned and worked, the positions held, and the rate of pay for each Class Member is also determinable from Defendants' records. For

purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendants. Notice can be provided by means permissible under said F.R.C.P 23.

45. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of the number is presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are more than thirty (30) members of the class.

46. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims which could be alleged by any member of the Class, and the relief sought is typical of the relief that would be sought by each member of the Class in separate actions. All the Class members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendants, as alleged herein, of failing to pay minimum wage, and overtime compensation. Defendants' corporation wide policies and practices, including but not limited to their failure to provide a wage notice at the time of hiring, affected all Class members similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/ or wrongful acts as to each Class member. Plaintiff and other Class members sustained similar losses, injuries and damages arising from the same unlawful policies, practices and procedures.

47. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff is represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in representing plaintiffs in both class action and wage and hour employment litigation cases.

48. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual Class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute corporate

defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expenses that numerous individual actions engender. The losses, injuries, and damages suffered by each of the individual Class members are small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, thus the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class members to redress the wrongs done to them. Further, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants and resulting in the impairment of class members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

49. Upon information and belief, defendants and other employers throughout the state violate the New York Labor Law. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing claims because doing so can harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. Class actions provide class members who are not named in the complaint a degree

of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.

50. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members, including:

- a. Whether Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Class within the meaning of the New York law;
- b. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to overtime under the New York Labor Law;
- c. Whether the Defendants provided wage notices at the time of hiring to Plaintiff and class members as required by the NYLL;
- d. Whether the Defendants provided wage statement to Plaintiff and class members as required by the NYLL
- e. At what common rate, or rates subject to common method of calculation were and are the Defendants required to pay the Class members for their work

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective]

51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

52. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half

times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).

53. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC §216(b).

54. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.

55. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time-and-a-half to the Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).

56. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.

57. Defendants willfully failed to notify the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.

58. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate the Plaintiff and Collective Class Members the statutory overtime rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure the Plaintiff and Collective Action members.

COUNT II

**[Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay
Brought on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Rule 23 Class]**

59. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

60. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.

61. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class their overtime pays violated the NYLL. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the Rule 23 Class was not in good faith.

**COUNT III
[Violation of New York Labor Law—Failure to Provide Wage
Notice at the Time of Hiring and Failure to Provide Wage Statement]**

62. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

63. The Defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiff at the time of hiring a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(1).

64. Due to the Defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(1), the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL, § 198(1-b).

65. The Defendants failed to furnish with each wage payment a statement listing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(3).

66. Due to the Defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(3), the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendants liquidated damages of \$100.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$2,500.00, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL, § 198(1-d).

67. The Defendants' NYLL violations have caused the Plaintiff irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective plaintiffs and rule 23 class, respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been

employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and premium overtime wages;

b) Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

c) Designation of Plaintiff as representatives of the Rule 23 Class, and counsel of record as Class counsel;

d) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;

e) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;

f) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;

g) An injunction against Nails by Vivian, LLC, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;

h) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law;

i) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide wage statement as required under the New York Labor Law.

j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants'

knowing and willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216;

k) An award of liquidated and/ or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to New York Labor Law;

l) An award of damages and/or punitive damages to the Plaintiff damages for unpaid wages;

m) An award of damages and liquidated damages to the Plaintiff for the Defendants' unlawful failure to pay Plaintiff's agreed upon wages

n) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;

o) The cost and disbursements of this action;

p) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;

q) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and

r) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just, and proper.

Dated: Flushing, New York May 24, 2017

HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.

/s Jian Hang

Jian Hang, Esq.
136-18 39th Avenue, Suite 1003
Flushing, New York 11354
Tel: 718.353.8588
jhang@hanglaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

**CONSENT TO SUE UNDER
FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Nails by Vivian, LLC, Thuc Vy Pham, and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

YUBO SONG
Full Legal Name (Print)

Yubo Song
Signature

4/26/2017
Date

EXHIBIT 2

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY
FOR SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Thuc Vy Pham, Vivian Pham, and Rain Pham or “Doe” (last name unknown)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Yu Bo Song, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Nails by Vivian, LLC for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them or the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: May 24, 2017

EXHIBIT 3

**DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND
MINUTES PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE
BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW**

TO:

Nails by Vivian, LLC
535 Pike Street
Mattituck, NY 11952

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Yu Bo Song, and others similarly situated as employees of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

HEREBY DEMAND the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts there from on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: May 24, 2017